

WILLIAM G. FAIRBOURN VAN BUNCH⁸ ELAINE A. RYAN⁷ GUY A. HANSON MANFRED P. MUECKE⁴ T. BRENT JORDAN⁶ KEVIN R. HANGER LAURA A. VAN BUREN ANDREW S. FRIEDMAN ROBERT J. SPURLOCK ANDREW Q. EVERROAD KIMBERLY C. PAGE³ WILLIAM F. KING ANDREW M. EVANS ERIC D. ZARD AUDRA E. PETROLLE¹⁰ FRANCIS J. BALINT, JR.⁹ C. KEVIN DYKSTRA PATRICIA N. SYVERSON² CHRISTINA L. BANNON TONNA K. FARRAR⁵ TY D. FRANKEL KENDALL K. WILSON CARRIE A. LALIBERTE

JERRY C. BONNETT, 1 Of Counsel MICHAEL N. WIDENER, Of Counsel

¹Admitted Also in Colorado ²Admitted Also in California ³Admitted Also in Alabama and Georgia ⁴Admitted Only in California, Kansas, Missouri and Oregon (located in Oregon) ⁶Admitted Only in Pennsylvania ⁷Admitted Also in Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, Texas, Utah and Washington ⁸Admitted Also in Tennessee and West Virginia ⁹Admitted Also in Massachusetts and Virginia ¹⁰Admitted Also in New Jersey and New York

May 13, 2016

Via U.S. Mail

Regional Freedom of Information Officer U.S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street (OPA-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Motorola 52nd Street Site, Freedom of Information Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

I am writing to request that, pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552), the United States Environmental Protection Agency provide the following documents:

All documents, including but not limited to memoranda, summaries, reports, communications, graphs, charts, or tables that discuss, analyze, or otherwise reflect the results of flow and transport modeling conducted by EPA with regard to the migration of contaminants from the Motorola and Honeywell (formerly AlliedSignal) source areas in the OU3 study area of the Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site.

To aid in identifying responsive documents, I have attached a letter, dated February 25, 2000, from John Kemmerer of EPA to Byron James of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. The second paragraph of the letter states: "We have also conducted our own modeling and have concluded that the down gradient boundary (7th Avenue) for the study area identified in our letter from November 1997 is appropriate." I am seeking documents relating to the modeling referenced in this letter.

Regional Freedom of Information Officer May 13, 2016 Page 2

Responsive documents can be sent to, and I can be contacted at, the following address:

Kendall Wilson Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C. 2325 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 300 Phoenix, AZ 85016 (602) 274-1100

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Kendall K. Wilson

KKW:ca Enclosure

File E-4070.7.13.1



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901



February 25, 2000

Byron James, Manager Superfund Programs Section Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 3033 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012-2809

Re: Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site, Phoenix, AZ

Dear Byron:

This letter continues our dialogue regarding the Motorola 52nd Street Superfund Site Operable Unit Three (OU3) "study area". Based on our prior correspondence we had agreed that EPA would further evaluate the Motorola groundwater modeling results with regard to defining a down gradient boundary for an OU3 investigation. We had also agreed that ADEQ would retain the lead for OU3 and would negotiate with OU2 and OU3 potentially responsible parties for conducting a comprehensive OU3 RI/FS.

We have completed our initial evaluation of the Motorola and Honeywell (formerly AlliedSignal) modeling efforts. We have also conducted our own modeling and have concluded that the down gradient boundary (7th Avenue) for the study area identified in our letter from November 1997 is appropriate. The western extent proposed for the OU3 investigation is consistent with the beginning of the West Van Buren WQARF site, and our technical evaluations to date support that Motorola's releases are not likely to have migrated beyond 7th Avenue. These boundaries may be modified by the agencies as more information is collected during the site RI work (including the Honeywell investigation), and as we refine our conceptual site model.

With regard to the lead responsibility for OU3, we propose a change to the previously agreed to approach. EPA would like to work with ADEQ, sharing the lead as we move forward with the OU3 study area remedial investigation. We propose that EPA perform the RI for the OU3 study area. As you know, we have sufficient technical support to move forward with this effort now. ADEQ would retain the lead for source area investigations within OU3. Regarding other OUs within this site, ADEQ would continue to lead the Honeywell investigation (OU2), continue oversight of the ongoing operation of OU1, and would begin negotiations for an O&M agreement on OU2. With regard to the final remedy, which would incorporate all three OUs, EPA and ADEQ would work together on the alternatives to be considered in the FS, and in proposing and selecting the final site-wide remedy. This approach would be consistent with the current relationship we have established for the OU2 groundwater remedy, and would allow a greater degree of coordination between our Agencies on the final site-wide remedy.

Finally, with regard to EPA's PRP search, we will be sending 104(e) information request letters to facilities that may have information we need regarding contamination in the area. EPA will share the proposed list of recipients with ADEQ soon and we hope to complete our PRP search by this Summer.

Please let us know your thoughts on our proposed strategy for this site.

Sincerely,

John Kemmerer, Chief &co Superfund Site Cleanup Branch

cc:

Moses Olade, ADEQ Kris Kommalan, ADEQ John Kivett, ADEQ

Linda Pollock, AZ Attorney General's Office

Mason Bolitho, AZ Department of Water Resources